ISO/IEC JTC1/SC2/WG2 N4xxx

L2/13-xxx 2013-04-16

Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set International Organization for Standardization Organisation Internationale de Normalisation Международная организация по стандартизации

Doc Type: Working Group Document

Title: Preliminary proposal to encode Quikscript characters in the UCS

Source: Michael Everson

Status: Individual Contribution

Action: For consideration by JTC1/SC2/WG2 and UTC

Date: 2013-04-16

0. Introduction. Ronald Kingsley Read was the designer of the Shavian alphabet, a new alphabet intended for writing English which has been encoded in the UCS. In 1966, after extensive testing of Shavian with English speakers from around the world, Read introduced Quikscript, a revised form of Shavian. Also known as the "Read alphabet", Quikscript has more ligatures than Shavian, which is intended to make it easier to write by hand. Its appearance is more cursive than Shavian. See Figures 3 and 4.

Quikscript might be encoded as a script on its own, or as an extension to Shavian. If the latter approach is used, a number of Shavian characters should be encoded to fill gaps in the UCS in order to support Quikscript. Four of these characters are disunifications from Shavian; that is, they represent sounds which are supported by Shavian letters, but which are unique and different letterforms unrelated to the Shavian originals. Five characters are additions for new sounds not supported in Shavian. And two characters are additional punctuation which could be used by any script.

Ţ	2E43	LEFT ANGLED PARENTHESIS			
1	2E44	RIGHT ANGLED PARENTHESIS			
1	10570	SHAVIAN LETTER QUIKSCRIPT HE	Q	10463	SHAVIAN LETTER HA-HA
1	10571	SHAVIAN LETTER QUIKSCRIPT WHY			
6	10572	SHAVIAN LETTER QUIKSCRIPT MAY	ſ	10465	SHAVIAN LETTER MIME
δ	10573	SHAVIAN LETTER QUIKSCRIPT NO	1	1046F	SHAVIAN LETTER NUN
3	10574	SHAVIAN LETTER QUIKSCRIPT LOW	C	10464	SHAVIAN LETTER LOLL
٤	10575	SHAVIAN LETTER QUIKSCRIPT LLAN			
φ	10576	SHAVIAN LETTER QUIKSCRIPT LOCH			
χ	10577	SHAVIAN LETTER QUIKSCRIPT EXCITE			
X	10578	SHAVIAN LETTER QUIKSCRIPT EXAM			

1. Disunified characters. The basic structural scheme of Shavian has to do with pairings of tall and deep characters (signifying voiceless and voiced consonants) and mirroring and/or rotation for vowels and

liquids. Four characters used in Quikscript differ structurally from their counterparts in Shavian, and should be encoded as extensions to Shavian.

Note that Quikscript retains the same pairings that Shavian does—except for \int QUIKSCRIPT HE, which is disunified from χ HA-HA; it is not a deep character mirror of ℓ HUNG.

Right-facing characters
$$Cl fm$$
 Shavian

Left-facing characters $Dr n$ Shavian

Right-facing characters $Dr n$ Quikscript

Left-facing characters $Dr n$ Quikscript

Note that Quikscript 6 MAY and 7 NO are not mirrored characters like Shavian 1 MIME and 1 nun are, and that Quickscript abandons the Shavian mirrored c LOLL and 2 ROAR pairing in favour of a new 3 LOW and 2 LLAN pairing.

2. New characters. The Quikscript variant of Shavian introduces five new characters for five sounds; the new ones are coloured red here:

Compare
$$\int M$$
 $\gtrsim l$ $d \Rightarrow x$ $d \Rightarrow ks$ $d \Rightarrow ks$

Note that Quikscript retains the same pairings that Shavian does—except for Γ QUIKSCRIPT HE, which is disunified from χ HA-HA.

3. Punctuation characters. Quikscript employs angled instead of curved parentheses. These can be used in Latin as well as Shavian/Quikscript text, and so are proposed for the Supplemental Punctuation block. See Figure 2.

4. Issues. Quikscript can easily be analysed as a set of extensions to a cursive form of Shavian, but some members of the Quikscript community are concerned that this will not be advantageous to them in the long term. They argue that they should be able to represent both Shavian and Quikscript in the same document without having to switch fonts, in the same way that Cyrillic and Greek and Latin can be. They also argue that readers of Quikscript reallly aren't readers of Shavian and would find themselves disadvantaged in, for example, internet cafés where some generic UCS-enabled font supported Shavian

and its extensions but did not have a specific Quikscript font. This question of legibility should not be underestimated.

5. Unicode Character Properties

If Quikscript is encoded as a set of extensions to Shavian, the properties would be as follows.

6. Ordering

These characters should be inserted into the unified sort order for Shavian as follows.

Note that the normal ordering for Quikscript is significantly different than that for Shavian. For instance, the tall/deep characters alternate, rather than the run of tall characters followed by the run of deep characters. This also can be considered to be a reason to disunify the two scripts. Yet another reason is that two of the Shavian letters as used in Quikscript ($\Im r$ and $\mathop{\rm x}\nolimits \mathop{\rm h}\nolimits \mathop{\rm j}\nolimits u$:) are considered to be simple ligatures.

$$\int p < \int b < \int t < \int d < \delta k < \delta g < \partial \theta < \int \delta < \delta f < \rho v < \delta s < \partial f < \rho v < \delta s < \partial f < \rho v < \delta s < \partial f < \rho v < \delta s < \partial f < \rho v < \delta s < \partial f < \rho v < \delta s < \partial f < \rho v < \delta s < \delta f < \rho v < \delta s < \delta f < \rho v < \delta s < \delta f < \rho v < \delta f < \delta f < \rho v < \delta f < \delta f < \rho v < \delta f < \delta f$$

Figures

THE QUICKSCRIPT ALPHABET

Figure 1. The basic Quikscript alphabet.

For the sounds of ai/r in 'Pair, Dare, There', Nos. 29+25: write

Brackets are /sngled/ instead of (curved). Possessive apostrophes are usually omitted without loss of meaning. Numerals written among words should be underlined; so should foreign words.

SOUNDS represented are those of first letters or other CAPITALS in the hyphenated Keywords. The true sound is not always enough for safe NAMING of letters, and in such cases the whole Keyword may serve as a letter-name. To refer to a letter in Quickscript, it is written with a name-dot.

Figure 2. Sample text showing angled parentheses and two Quikscript extensions, ♠ LOCH and € LLAN.

6 hall.

(2) respectively of the graph of graph

Figure 3. Sample of Quikscript text; at the end of each text the angled parentheses are used. This ligated style is called "Senior Quikscript".

Figure 4. Sample of Quikscript text. This unligated style is called "Junior Quikscript".

'Fear' ON has a penlift. 'Career' ON and 'Carrier' ON have breaks.

Letter No.8 may connect on the writing-line by an allowable extension upward and forward to that level (as in Nos.10 and 12), to write:

Notice the connected -der of Murder. Write 'Dear' connectedly: M.

Other mother brother father rather weather whether further

W W DW DW M AV AV DOW

Connections producing a right-angle or wider angle are slower than acute-angled connections. Detachment is often better. But do not hesitate to join with acute angles or where a continuous curve is formed. Here are combinations of two consonants which should become habitual:

gl pl kl kr kt fr ts st sp sk skr ८ १ SI Ŋ \mathcal{O} Ŗ න B

Little practice is needed to write Tall-S upwardly (from left to right) as well as downwardly, and both ways are equally handy in making joins. As always, the secret is a light touch.

Figure 5. Examples of ligated letter pairs. Ligation is to be implemented as an OpenType feature in cursive fonts. Senior Quikscript fonts will typically have these tables; Junior Quikscript fonts will not.

A. Administrative

1. Title

Proposal to encode additional Shavian characters in the UCS.

2. Requester's name

Michael Everson

3. Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution)

Individual contribution.

4. Submission date

2013-04-17

- 5. Requester's reference (if applicable)
- 6. Choose one of the following:

6a. This is a complete proposal

Yes.

6b. More information will be provided later

No

B. Technical - General

1. Choose one of the following:

1a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters)

Yes.

Proposed name of script

Shavian Quikscript Extensions; Supplemental Punctuation.

1b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block

No.

1c. Name of the existing block

2. Number of characters in proposal

11(9,2)

3. Proposed category (A-Contemporary; B.1-Specialized (small collection); B.2-Specialized (large collection); C-Major extinct; D-Attested extinct; E-Minor extinct; F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic; G-Obscure or questionable usage symbols)

Category B.1.

4. Is a repertoire including character names provided?

Yes.

4a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines"

Yes.

4b. Are the character shapes attached in a legible form suitable for review?

Yes.

5. Fonts related:

5a. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font to the Project Editor of 10646 for publishing the standard?

Michael Everson.

5b. Identify the party granting a license for use of the font by the editors (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.):

Michael Everson, Fontographer.

6. References:

6a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided?

No.

6b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of proposed characters attached? **Yes**.

7. Special encoding issue: Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as input, presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)?

Yes. Unicode character properties above.

8. Additional Information: Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Character(s) or Script that will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed character(s) or script.

See above.

C. Technical - Justification

 $1. \ Has \ this \ proposal \ for \ addition \ of \ character(s) \ been \ submitted \ before? \ If \ YES \ explain$

No.

2. Has contact been made to members of the user community (for example: National Body, user groups of the script or characters, other experts, etc.)?

Yes, via the Quikscript Yahoo discussion list, Read_Alphabet

2a. If YES, available relevant documents

3. Information on the user community for the proposed characters (for example: size, demographics, information technology use, or publishing use) is included?

Users of Quikscript.

4a. The context of use for the proposed characters (type of use; common or rare)

Relatively rare.

4b. Reference

5a. Are the proposed characters in current use by the user community?

Yes.

5b. If YES, where?

In texts written in Quikscript

6. After giving due considerations to the principles in the P&P document must the proposed characters be entirely in the BMP?

No.

6a. If YES, is a rationale provided?

6b. If YES, reference

7. Should the proposed characters be kept together in a contiguous range (rather than being scattered)?

Yes.

8a. Can any of the proposed characters be considered a presentation form of an existing character or character sequence?

No.

8b. If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?

8c. If YES, reference

9a. Can any of the proposed characters be encoded using a composed character sequence of either existing characters or other proposed characters?

No.

9b. If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?

9c. If YES, reference

10a. Can any of the proposed character(s) be considered to be similar (in appearance or function) to an existing character?

No.

10b. If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?

10c. If YES, reference

11a. Does the proposal include use of combining characters and/or use of composite sequences?

Ves

11b. If YES, is a rationale for such use provided?

No.

11c. If YES, reference

11d. Is a list of composite sequences and their corresponding glyph images (graphic symbols) provided?

No

11e. If YES, reference

12a. Does the proposal contain characters with any special properties such as control function or similar semantics?

No.

12b. If YES, describe in detail (include attachment if necessary)

 $13a.\ Does\ the\ proposal\ contain\ any\ Ideographic\ compatibility\ character(s)?$

No.

13b. If YES, is the equivalent corresponding unified ideographic character(s) identified?